Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,615	ROCHELLE, GARY P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jermie Cozart	3726	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Jermie Cozart</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>John Denkenberger</u> .	(4)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2006</u>	Time: <u>10:45AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: McIlroy (3,908,208)			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: During a telephone conversation with John Denkenberger, Mr. Denkenberger agreed to amend claim 11 by examiner's amendment in order to overcome the art of record and to place the application in condition for allowance.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)	